

HONORABLE BARBARA J. ROTHSTEIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

PHILIP MARTIN, T.F. (NATALIE) TANG,  
and YATIN KHANNA, individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BINANCE HOLDINGS, LTD. d/b/a  
BINANCE, BAM TRADING SERVICES,  
INC. d/b/a BINANCE US, a Delaware  
corporation, and CHANGPENG ZHAO,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-01264-BJR

**ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED  
MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY AND  
AMEND THE BRIEFING SCHEDULE  
FOR MOTION TO COMPEL  
ARBITRATION**

**I. STIPULATED MOTION**

Plaintiffs Philip Martin, T.F. (Natalie) Tang, and Yatin Khanna (collectively, “Plaintiffs”), and Defendants Binance Holdings, Ltd. (“BHL”), BAM Trading Services Inc. (“BAM”), and Changpeng Zhao (collectively, “Defendants”), stipulate and agree as follows:

1. On August 16, 2024, Plaintiffs filed the Complaint. (Dkt. No. 1.)
2. Between September 17 and September 20, 2024, counsel for the Parties met and conferred pursuant to the Court’s Standing Order for All Civil Cases (Dkt. No. 14 (the “Standing Order”)), in order to determine whether a motion pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b) could be avoided.

1           3.       On October 4, 2024, the Parties filed a Stipulated Motion to Waive Service and Set  
2 Schedule for Response to Complaint. (Dkt. No. 41.) On October 7, 2024, the Court granted the  
3 Parties' Stipulated Motion. (Dkt. No. 42 (the "Bifurcated Schedule").)

4           4.       Pursuant to the Bifurcated Schedule, Defendants' deadline to file a Motion to  
5 Compel Arbitration is December 6, 2024; Plaintiffs have 30 days from that Motion to file an  
6 opposition; and Defendants have 14 days to file a reply. (*Id.* at 3.) If the Court denies Defendants'  
7 Motion to Compel Arbitration, the Parties shall meet and confer pursuant to the Court's Standing  
8 Order to determine whether a motion pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b) can be avoided. Then, the  
9 Parties shall file a joint report with the Court no later than 14 days after any Order denying  
10 Defendants' Motion to Compel to notify the Court if Defendants seek to file a Motion to Dismiss  
11 and, if so, the proposed briefing schedule of the parties. (*Id.*)

12           5.       On October 10, 2024, the Court entered an initial schedule setting various  
13 discovery-related deadlines for this case. (Dkt. No. 48 (the "Initial Schedule").) Under the Initial  
14 Schedule, the Parties' deadline for a Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) conference was November 7, 2024; for  
15 initial disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) is November 14, 2024; and for a combined  
16 joint status report and discovery plan as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and L.R. 26(f) is  
17 November 21, 2024. (*Id.* at 1.)

18           6.       Pursuant to the Initial Schedule, the Parties met and conferred via Zoom on  
19 November 4, 2024, and continued to meet and confer to date via email.

20           7.       Pursuant to those meet and confer efforts, the Parties agree that, with the exception  
21 of limited arbitration related discovery to be agreed upon between Plaintiffs and BHL as set out  
22 below, (a) staying discovery and the deadlines in the Initial Schedule until the Court enters a  
23 decision on Defendants' Motion to Compel and (if necessary) Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (the  
24 "Stipulated Stay of Discovery"), and (b) amending the briefing schedule set out in the Bifurcated  
25 Schedule, serves efficiency and judicial economy, conserves the Parties' and the Court's resources,  
26 and facilitates the orderly presentation and adjudication of the issues presented in this case.

8. Accordingly, the Parties agree that good cause exists for the Court to enter an order pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b) and 26(c) approving the Stipulated Stay of Discovery and amending the Bifurcated Schedule as follows:

A. Defendants wish to use certain filings from the following cases in support of their Motion to Compel Arbitration: *Kattula v. Coinbase Global, Inc., et al.*, No. 1:22CV03250 (N.D. Ga. filed Aug. 15, 2022), and *Osterer v. BAM Trading Services Inc., et al.*, No. 1:23CV22083 (S.D. Fla. filed Jun. 5, 2023). Plaintiffs and BHL will meet and confer regarding which filings from these cases Plaintiffs will agree to authenticate and/or verify. BHL and Plaintiffs will enter into a stipulation regarding such filings within 5 business days after BHL provides Plaintiffs with the filings it intends to reference in the Motion to Compel.

B. BHL will issue limited arbitration-related discovery requests to Plaintiffs by November 20, 2024.

C. Plaintiffs will provide responses to BHL's requests by December 4, 2024.

D. Defendants' initial Motion to Compel brief will be filed by December 13, 2024.

E. Plaintiffs will issue discovery requests to BHL by December 23, 2024.

F. BHL will provide responses to Plaintiffs' requests by January 6, 2025.

G. Plaintiffs' opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel will be due on January 15, 2025.

H. BHL will serve any additional limited written discovery requests by January 20, 2025, and Plaintiffs' responses to those requests will be due on January 27, 2025.

I. Defendants' reply in support of the Motion to Compel will be due on February 3, 2025.

J. All other discovery shall be stayed until the Court enters a decision on Defendants' Motion to Compel and, if Defendants file a Motion to Dismiss, the Court enters a decision on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss.

K. The deadlines in the Initial Schedule (Dkt. No. 48) shall be stayed until the Court

enters a decision on Defendants' Motion to Compel and, if Defendants file a Motion to Dismiss, the Court enters a decision on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss.

L. If the Court denies Defendants' Motion to Compel and no Motion to Dismiss is filed or the Court denies the Motion to Dismiss, the deadlines in the Initial Schedule (Dkt. No. 48) shall be set as follows:

- a. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) Conference shall be within 14 days after any Order denying the Motion to Dismiss or joint report indicating that Defendants do not seek to file a Motion to Dismiss;
- b. Initial Disclosures Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) shall be within 28 days after any Order denying the Motion to Dismiss or joint report indicating that Defendants do not seek to file a Motion to Dismiss;
- c. Combined Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan as Required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and Local Civil Rule 26(f) shall be within 42 days of any Order denying the Motion to Dismiss or joint report indicating that Defendants do not seek to file a Motion to Dismiss.

9. The Parties submit that good cause exists to grant the Stipulated Stay of Discovery. Defendants' Motion to Compel and potential Motion to Dismiss are both dispositive motions which, if granted, would render discovery unnecessary. The proposed schedule potentially prevents the Parties from spending unnecessary litigation expenses and ensures the Court does not expend judicial resources on any unnecessary discovery-related issues. Similarly, staying the deadlines in the Initial Schedule promotes efficiency and conserves judicial resources by allowing the Parties the benefit of this Court's decisions on the Motion to Compel and Motion to Dismiss when proposing discovery and trial deadlines.

10. The Parties further submit that the Stipulated Stay of Discovery and amendments to the Bifurcated Schedule will not unduly delay this case. This case is still in the very early stages, and no trial date has been set. Engaging in discovery while dispositive motions are pending does not facilitate the efficient adjudication and litigation of this case. Furthermore, the proposed

deadlines in this Stipulated Stay of Discovery ensure that the case will move efficiently and quickly if the Defendants' dispositive motions are denied.

IT IS SO STIPULATED this 13th day of November 2024.

KELLER ROHRBACK LLP

CORR CRONIN LLP

By: /s/ Lynn Lincoln Sarko

Derek W. Loeser, WSBA No. 24274  
Lynn Lincoln Sarko, WSBA No. 16569  
Chris N. Ryder, WSBA No. 58732  
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3400  
Seattle, WA 98101-3052  
(206) 623-1900  
dloeser@kellerrohrback.com  
lsarko@kellerrohrback.com

By: /s/ Blake Marks-Dias

Blake Marks-Dias, WSBA No. 28169  
Todd T. Williams, WSBA No. 45032  
1015 Second Avenue, Floor 10  
Seattle, WA 98104  
(206) 625-8600  
bmarksdias@corrchronin.com  
twilliams@corrchronin.com

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN  
& DOWD LLP

Evan J. Kaufman, *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
Samuel H. Rudman, *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
Jonathan A. Ohlmann, *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
58 Service Road, Suite 200  
Melville, NY 11747  
(631) 367-7100  
ekaufman@rgrdlaw.com  
srudman@rgrdlaw.com  
johlmann@rgrdlaw.com

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

Daniel Stabile (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
Gabriela Plasencia (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
200 S. Biscayne Blvd.  
Suite 2400  
Miami, FL 33131  
(305) 910-0500  
dstabile@winston.com  
gplasencia@winston.com

Thania Charmani (*Pro Hac Vice*)

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN  
& DOWD LLP

Eric I. Niehaus, *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
655 West Broadway, Suite 1900  
San Diego, CA 92101  
(619) 231-1058  
ericn@rgrdlaw.com

200 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10166-4196  
(212) 294-6700  
acharmani@winston.com

*Attorneys for Defendant BAM Trading Services Inc.*

SILVER MILLER

David C. Silver, *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
Jason S. Miller, *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
4450 NW 126th Avenue, Suite 101  
Coral Springs, FL 33065  
(954) 516-6000  
dsilver@silvermillerlaw.com  
jmiller@silvermillerlaw.com

HERMAN JONES LLP

John C. Herman, *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
3424 Peachtree Road, N.E., Suite 1650  
Atlanta, GA 30326  
(404) 504-6555  
jherman@hermanjones.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Philip Martin, et. al.*

LANE POWELL PC

By: /s/ Sean D. Jackson  
Sean Jackson WSBA No. 33615  
1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4200  
Seattle, WA 98101  
(206) 223-7000  
JacksonS@LanePowell.com

WITHERS BERGMAN LLP

Christoper N. LaVigne (*pro hac vice*  
*forthcoming*)  
430 Park Avenue, 10th Floor  
New York, New York 1002  
(212) 848-9882  
christopher.lavigne@withersworldwide.com

*Attorneys for Defendant Binance Holdings Ltd.*  
*d/b/a Binance*

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &  
SULLIVAN, LLP

By: /s/ Alicia Cobb  
Alicia Cobb  
1109 First Avenue, Suite 210  
Seattle, WA 98101  
(206) 905-7000  
aliciacobb@quinnemanuel.com

Avi Perry (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)  
1300 I Street, NW, Suite 900  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 538-8000  
aviperry@quinnemanuel.com

Peter H. Fountain (*pro hac vice*  
*forthcoming*)  
Michael A. Linneman (*pro hac vice*  
*forthcoming*)  
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor  
New York, New York 10010  
(212) 849-7000  
peterfountain@quinnemanuel.com  
michaellinneman@quinnemanuel.com

1 Brenna Ledvora (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)  
2 191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700  
3 Chicago, Illinois 60606  
4 (312) 705-7400  
brennaledvora@quinnemanuel.com

5 *Attorneys for Defendant Changpeng Zhao*  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

II. ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 14<sup>th</sup> day of November 2024.



BARBARA J. ROTHSTEIN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented by:

LANE POWELL PC

s/ Sean D. Jackson

Sean D. Jackson, WSBA No. 33615  
1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4200  
P.O. Box 91302  
Seattle, Washington 98111-9402  
Phone: 206.223.7000  
jacksons@lanepowell.com

Christopher N. LaVigne, (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)  
christopher.lavigne@withersworldwide.com  
430 Park Avenue, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022-3505  
Phone 212.848.9800  
Fax 212.848.9888

*Attorneys for Defendant Binance Holdings Ltd.*  
*d/b/a Binance*